INFORMATION PROCESSING LETTERS 2 (1974) 146-147. © NORTH-HOLLAND PUBLISHING COMPANY

A NEW UPPER BOUND ON THE COMPLEXITY OF DERIVATIVE EVALUATION

H.T. KUNG

Department of Computer Science, Carnegie-Mellon University, Schenley Park, Pittsburgh, Pa. 15213, USA

Received 12 October 1973

complexity

derivative evaluation

1. Introduction

Let k be the field of complex numbers, t an intermediate over k, and P(t) an nth degree polynomial over k. Let T(n) denote the number of arithmetic operations needed to evaluate the normalized derivatives $P^{(j)}(t)/i!$, i = 0, ..., n, at an arbitrary point in k. We are interested in upper bounds on T(n).

By using the standard algorithm (iterated Horner algorithm), we can prove that

$$T(n) \leq n^2 + n, \quad \forall n$$

By using the special case of the Shaw-Traub family of algorithms with parameter $q \neq n+1$ [4, section 2], referred to here for conciseness at the Shaw-Traub algorithm, we can prove that

$$T(n) \leq \frac{1}{2}n^2 + \frac{7}{2}n - 2, \quad \forall n$$

These upper bounds have been further improved for large n. (However, the Shaw-Traub algorithm is still the best known algorithm for small n.) Borodin and Munro [2, chapter 3, problem 5] observed that

$$T(n) \le T_e(n) + T_i(n), \tag{1}$$

where $T_e(n)$ is the number of arithmetic operations needed to evaluate an *n*th degree polynomial at *n*+1 points and $T_i(n)$ is the number of arithmetic operations needed to construct an *n*th degree interpolating polynomial from *n*+1 pairs of points. (see also Kung [3].) Now, two *n*th degree polynomials can be multiplied in (cn log n + lower order terms) arithmetic operations. (This can be done with the Fast Fourier Transform with c = 12. All logarithms in this note are to base 2.) A number of people showed independently that

 $T_e(n) \le O(n \log^2 n), T_i(n) \le O(n \log^2 n).$

(See the survey paper written by Borodin [1] and Kung [3].) For simplicity, in the following we assume that $n = 2^r - 1$ for some positive integer r. Kung's algorithm gives the best previously known asymptotic constants:

 $T_e(n) \leq \frac{3}{2}cn \log^2 n + \text{lower order terms}$,

 $T_i(n) \le 2cn \log^2 n + 1$ ower order terms.

Hence by (1),

 $T(n) \leq \frac{7}{2}cn \log^2 n + \text{lower order terms.}$

This is the best previously known upper bound on T(n) for large n. In this note we show that

 $T(n) \leq \frac{1}{2}cn \log^2 n + \text{lower order terms}$.

2. Main results

Let $P(t) = \sum_{0}^{n} a_{i} t^{i}$ and let t_{0} be any point in k. Suppose that we want to evaluate $P^{(l)}(t)/i!$, i = 0, ..., n, at t_{0} . It is equivalent to compute $b_{0}, ..., b_{n}$ from $a_{0}, ..., a_{n}$ and t_{0} such that

$$\sum_{0}^{n} b_{i} t^{i} \equiv \sum_{0}^{n} a_{0} (t + t_{0})^{i}.$$
⁽²⁾

Note that for j = 0, ..., r-1,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2^{j+1}-1} a_i(t+t_0)^i \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{2^{j}-1} a_i(t+t_0)^i$$

$$+ (t+t_0)^{2^j} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{2^j+i} (t+t_0)^i.$$
(3)

We first compute $d_{j,i}$, $i = 0, \dots, 2^j, j = 0, \dots, r-1$, such that

$$(t+t_0)^{2^j} = \sum_{i=0}^{2^j} d_{i,i} t^i.$$

It is easy to check that this can be done in $(cn \log n + lower order terms)$ arithmetic operations. Then by (2) and by using Fast Fourier Transform for polynomial multiplication, we have

 $T(2^{j+1}) \leq 2T(2^j) + c \cdot j \cdot 2^j + \text{lower order terms},$

for $j = 0, \dots, r-1$. Therefore we have shown the following

Theorem 2.1. $T(n) \leq \frac{1}{2} cn \log^2 n + lower order terms$, where $n = 2^r - 1$ for any positive integer r.

3. Remarks

The above algorithm is based on (3) which is obtained by the binary splitting of the summation in the left hand side. It is easy to see that the iterated Horner algorithm is based on the following splitting: for j = n-1, n-2, ..., 0,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-j} a_{j+1}(t+t_0)^i \equiv a_j + (t+t_0) \sum_{i=0}^{n-j-1} a_{j+1+i}(t+t_0)^i . (4)$$

Furthermore, let $\overline{b}_i = b_i t_0^i$ and $\overline{a}_i = a_i t_0^i$ for i = 0, ..., n. Then by (2)

$$\sum_{0}^{n} \overline{b}_{i} t^{i} \equiv \sum_{0}^{n} \overline{a}_{i} (1-t)^{i}$$
(5)

and by (4), for j = n-1, n-2, ..., 0,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-j} \bar{a}_{j+1}(t+1)^i \equiv \bar{a}_j + (t+1) \sum_{i=0}^{n-j-1} \bar{a}_{j+1+i}(t+1)^i.$$
(6)

By (5) and (6) we know that $\overline{b}_0, \dots, \overline{b}_n$ can be computed by the iterated Horner algorithm applied to the polynomial $\sum_{i=0}^{n} \overline{a_i} t^i$ with $t_0 = 1$. After \overline{b}_i has been computed b_i is computed by $b_i = \overline{b}_i t_0^{-i}$. This algorithm for computing b_0, \dots, b_n is exactly the Shaw-Traub algorithm.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Professor J.F. Traub for his comments on this note.

This research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant GJ32111 and the Office of Naval Research under Contract N00014-67-A-0314-0010, NR 044-422.

References

- A. Borodin, On the Number of Arithmetics Required to Compute Certain Functions - Circa May 1973. Appears in Complexity of sequential and parallel numerical algorithms, ed. J.F. Traub (Acad. Press, New York, 1973.
- [2] A. Borodin and I. Munro, Notes on efficient and optimal algorithms, Univ. of Toronto and Univ. of Waterloo (1972).
- [3] H.T. Kung, Fast evaluation and interpolation, Computer Science Dept. Report, Carnegie-Mellon Univ. (1973).
- [4] M. Shaw and J.F. Traub, On the number of multiplications for the evaluation of a polynomial and some of its derivatives, Computer Science Dep. Report, Carnegie-Mellon University (1972), JACM (to appear).